

ADDENDUM # 3

**CITY OF TORRANCE
3031 Torrance Blvd.
Torrance, CA 90503**

RFP NO. B2021-04

RFP for Design Build Fuel System at Torrance Municipal Airport

ADDENDUM # 3 - Issued 03/30/21

THE FOLLOWING CHANGES ARE HEREBY INCORPORATED INTO AND MADE A MANDATORY PART OF SUBJECT RFP:

CLARIFY: The Proposal Due Date remains on **Monday, April 5, 2021 by 3:00 PM** in the Office of the City Clerk, 3031 Torrance Blvd., Torrance, CA 90503. **NO FAXED PROPOSALS ACCEPTED.**

CLARIFICATIONS:

1. We are seeking a final clarification of Addendum #002 as it is still somewhat vague as to what needs to be included in the bid ? According to the Questions and Answers in Addendum 2 No.8 Does the City have a specific size and manufacturer in mind for the double walled piping that will run from the tank and across the driveway to the “future Generator Location” or do they expect the design / builder to select and specify? Addendum #2 Answer: It has been determined that the existing generator can handle the load of the future EOC and no new generator will be needed (Ref: page 19 RFP2021-04). This implies that the cross driveway piping system is no longer needed and that no other piping or lines are required to cross the driveway as part of the new installation and that this requirement in the City provided concept drawing is totally eliminated. Please confirm that this is in fact correct?

Yes, this is correct. The cross driveway piping system is no longer needed and no other piping or lines are required to cross the driveway as part of the new installation and the requirement in the City provided concept drawing is eliminated.

2. There is an issue with re-using the existing Veeder-Root system that the City now has. Our engineers are telling us that the new technology interstitial sensors and monitors are not going to work with the old Veeder Root system. They are concerned that the City is asking for something that will be incompatible and unable to be made a reliable system.

The tanks must be designed to meet code. The existing Veeder Root is for the cities convenience to monitor fuel inventory. It is the contractor’s responsibility to ensure that the system overfill prevention and annular monitoring meets code. We will not be upgrading the panel at this time as Veeder Root will still provide support for our existing panel for the next 5 years.

3. The time allotted for Engineering and Permit Processing is short when compared to our experience with similar projects.

This time can be submitted with the estimated project timeline and further discussed during the interview process.

4. The Permits and Fees for this project are undetermined and we feel should be an allowance amount in the design build documents.

There are no fees for permits for city projects.

5. The suggested sequencing of work is not correct in our opinion. We understand that the contractors have the right to sequence the job as we see fit, however the City has not provided a preliminary investigation of possible soils contamination around the existing USTs. We believe a preliminary investigation should be done as phase one of the project. Phase two should be to provide temporary fuel tanks and hook ups to supply the operations center and backup generator. Removal of the USTs and dealing with possible contamination should be the third phase. Once the backfill is completed, the installation of the AST can proceed with minimal risk to the contractor.

The Fire Department has confirmed that a Phase II site assessment is not required prior to removal of the tank. If the contractor wishes to sequence the project different than outlined that can be proposed to the city with details as to why the contractor has determined that their approach is the best approach. Include all costs required to perform the work to include the additional sequencing and scope of work.

6. Contractors rights for a change order / delay with regard to contamination and cleanup are not clearly addressed as acceptable to the City Manager.

Change order/delays for soils contamination and clean-up is acceptable for the project.

7. We believe a canopy will be required above the AST, however this has not been properly addressed in the documents as ether required or not required.

Per the SCAQMD if the proposed tank meets Standing Loss requirements 301 or 302 then a canopy is not required.

Please return this addendum with your bid proposal. Failure to acknowledge addenda and submit it with your proposal may render the proposal non-responsive and cause it to be rejected. I hereby acknowledge receipt of this addendum.

Name of Company

Address

City State Zip Code