

## I N D E X

TORRANCE CITY COUNCIL - OCTOBER 1, 1985

<u>SUBJECT:</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>OPENING CEREMONIES:</u>	
1. Call to Order	1
2. Roll Call	
3. Flag Salute and Invocation	
<u>STANDARD MOTIONS:</u>	
4. Approval of Minutes: September 10, 1985	
5. Motion to Waive Further Reading	2
6. Withdrawn or Deferred Agenda Items (None)	
7. Council Committee Meetings (None)	
<u>8. COMMUNITY MATTERS:</u>	
8a. Introduction of Honored Guests from Kashiwa, Japan	
8b. Presentation to Mr. Norman Koch for Olympic Signs	3
8c. Proclamation re "Membership Enrollment Month"	
8d. Proclamation re "National Fire Prevention Week"	
8e. Proclamation re "Domestic Violence Awareness Week"	
8f. Proclamation re "Crime Prevention Month"	
8g. Resolution Commending South Bay Senior Citizens	5
<u>9. LIBRARY/PARKS AND RECREATION MATTERS:</u>	
9a. Appointment of the 1985-86 Youth Council Members	4-5
<u>11. POLICE AND FIRE MATTERS:</u>	
11a. Rejection of Bids B85-60, Dec Microvax II System	5-6
<u>13. ENVIRONMENTAL/BUILDING AND SAFETY MATTERS:</u>	
13a. Award of Contract (B85-53) T-Hangars	6-8
13b. AQMD Proposed Regulation VI re Vehicle Ridership	8-9
<u>14. PERSONNEL MATTERS:</u>	
14a. JTPA Contract for Outreach and Promotional Services	9
14b. JTPA Contract Agreements	10
<u>17. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS:</u>	
17a. Sale of Property to the Redevelopment Agency	10-11
17b. City/Redevelopment Agency Loan Agreement Amendment	11-12
17c. Cable TV Foundation	14-18
17d. Executive Session	13, 14, and 28, 29
<u>20. CONSENT CALENDAR:</u>	
20a. Investment Report - Month of July, 1985	18-19
20b. Award of Contract - Purchase of Transit Coaches	18-19
<u>21. ADDENDUM ITEMS:</u>	
21a. Adjournment to October 15, 1985	26
21b. Proclamation re "United Way Campaign Month"	4
21c. International Minerals and Chemical Corp. Variance	19-26
21d. City Self Insurance	27
<u>22. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:</u>	
22a. Councilman Applegate re Paramedic Services	
22b. Councilman Mock re School Board Election Results	
22c. Mayor Armstrong re Delegates to League of Calif. Cities	
22d. Mayor Armstrong re Mayor Pro Tem for Quarter	
22e. Mr. John Whitebrook re Business License Fee	28
22f. Mr. Roy Stone re Needed City Repairs	
<u>23. ADJOURNMENT: 9:50 P.M.</u>	
	30

Marlene Lewis  
Minute Secretary

City Council  
October 1, 1985

Prepared by Office of City Clerk  
DONNA M. BABB, CITY CLERK

October 1, 1985

MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING  
OF THE TORRANCE CITY COUNCIL

OPENING CEREMONIES:

1. CALL TO ORDER:

The Torrance City Council convened in a Regular Meeting on Tuesday, October 1, 1985, at 5:35 p.m., in the Council Chambers at Torrance City Hall.

2. ROLL CALL:

Present: Councilmembers Applegate, Geissert, Mock, Nakano, Walker, Wirth and Mayor Armstrong.

Absent: None.

Also Present: City Manager Jackson, Acting City Attorney Quale, and Staff Representatives.

3. FLAG SALUTE AND INVOCATION:

City Librarian Buckley led the flag salute.

The invocation was provided by Reverend Tom Rothhaar, Walteria Methodist Church.

STANDARD MOTIONS:

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: SEPTEMBER 10, 1985:

MOTION: Councilman Applegate moved to adopt the City Council minutes of September 10, 1985, as presented. His motion, seconded by Councilwoman Geissert, carried by unanimous roll call vote.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

5. MOTION TO WAIVE FURTHER READING:

Councilman Applegate MOVED that after the City Clerk has assigned a number and read title to any resolution or ordinance on tonight's agenda, the further reading thereof be waived, reserving and guaranteeing to each Councilmember the right to demand the reading of any such resolution or ordinance in regular order. His motion was seconded by Councilman Mock, and carried unanimously by roll call vote.

6. WITHDRAWN OR DEFERRED AGENDA ITEMS:

None.

7. COUNCIL COMMITTEE MEETINGS:

None.

8. COMMUNITY MATTERS:8a. INTRODUCTION OF HONORED GUESTS FROM KASHIWA, JAPAN:

At Mayor Armstrong's request, Sister City Representative Mikko Haggott introduced the following honored guests from Sister City, Kashiwa, Japan:

Assembly Members - Mr. Saburo Muraoka  
Mr. Teruo Ebihara  
Ms. Yoshie Kobayashi  
Mr. Keiichi Nishitomi

Mr. Miyao Iwai, Head of the City's Planning Department;  
Mr. Nobuhiro Goto, Director of the Regional  
Community Center; and  
Mr. Akira Kaburagi, Chief of Maintenance, Public  
Engineering Section.

On behalf of the Kashiwa delegation, Mr. Goto expressed sincere appreciation to the people of Torrance for welcoming the Kashiwa delegation each year for the past seven years.

The Kashiwa delegation was extended a warm welcome by Mayor Armstrong on behalf of his colleagues and the people of Torrance. He noted that the award-winning Sister City Program is in its 14th year.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

8b. PRESENTATION TO MR. NORMAN KOCH FOR OLYMPIC  
EVENT SIGNS DONATION:

As a token of appreciation for his donation to the City of one-of-a-kind illuminated Olympic event signs -- a touch of the spirit of the 1985 games -- Mayor Armstrong offered special thanks to Mr. Norman Koch for his kindness and generosity, and presented him with a special plaque and letter of appreciation on behalf of the City Council and the Parks and Recreation Department Staff.

This presentation was received with gratitude by Mr. Koch.

Mayor Armstrong made the following proclamations:

8c. PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING THE MONTH OF OCTOBER, 1985,  
AS "MEMBERSHIP ENROLLMENT MONTH."

Accepted with great pleasure by Director of Extension Membership and Chairman of the Torrance Council Parent-Teacher Association, Evelyn White; Ms. Nancy Hammond, President Torrance Council PTA; and Ms. Sue Hubers, President Torrance High School PTSA, the latter of which presented honorary membership cards to the Councilmembers and Mayor Armstrong, and invited everyone to join Torrance High School in celebrating the 50th year of its charter.

8d. PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING THE WEEK OF OCTOBER 6 THROUGH  
12, 1985, AS "NATIONAL FIRE PREVENTION WEEK."

Accepted by Fire Chief DeYoung.

8e. PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING OCTOBER 6 THROUGH 12,  
1985, AS "DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AWARENESS WEEK."

8f. PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING THE MONTH OF OCTOBER, 1985,  
AS "CRIME PREVENTION MONTH."

Accepted by Police Captain Hone, who extended an open invitation for participation in the annual Crime Prevention Fair at Del Amo Shopping Center October 12 and 13, 1985.

8g. RESOLUTION COMMENDING SOUTH BAY SENIOR SERVICES FOR  
TEN YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE IN OUR COMMUNITY:

Deferred; see Page 5.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

Taken out of order at this time --

21. ADDENDUM ITEMS:

21b. PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING THE MONTH OF OCTOBER, 1985,  
AS "UNITED WAY CAMPAIGN MONTH."

So proclaimed by Mayor Armstrong and accepted by Mr. Gregory Hill, District Manager of the Southern California Gas Company, who expressed thanks on behalf of United Way -- "thanks to all of you, it's working for all of us."

\* \* \*

Congratulations were extended by Mayor Armstrong on behalf of the City of Torrance to special guest Tish Okabe, Nisei Week Queen, as he presented her with a plaque to commemorate this honor.

\* \* \*

The Council then considered --

9. LIBRARY/PARKS AND RECREATION MATTERS:

9a. APPOINTMENT OF THE 1985-86 YOUTH COUNCIL MEMBERS:

RECOMMENDATION OF THE PARKS AND RECREATION DIRECTOR:

The Selection Committee recommends that the City Council confirm the following people for the 1985-86 Torrance Youth Council:

Celia Alario	- West High School
Lisa Beal	- Bishop Montgomery High School
Lori Briganti	- Member at Large
George Brown	- George Brown
Mary Brown	- North High School
Craig Carlson	- West High School
Cheryl Dyer	- South High School
Kimberly Edwards	- Member at Large
Don Goddard	- North High School
Alice Hindman	- Torrance High School
Rebecca Jackson	- El Camino College
Frank Mora	- Torrance High School
Frans Sy	- Bishop Montgomery High School
Lisa Van Kreuningen	- South High School

City Council  
October 1, 1985

MOTION: Councilmember Nakano, seconded by Councilman Wirth, moved to concur with the staff recommendation.

Mayor Armstrong introduced the nominees in the order given, following which the motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

City Clerk Babb administered the Oath of Office; and the newly appointed Youth Council Members were congratulated by Mayor Armstrong, who apprised those present of the function and purview of this youth group.

\* \* \*

The Council now considered --

8g. RESOLUTION COMMENDING SOUTH BAY SENIOR SERVICES FOR TEN YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE IN OUR COMMUNITY:

City Clerk Babb read title to:

RESOLUTION NO. 85-280

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY  
OF TORRANCE COMMENDING SOUTH BAY SENIOR  
SERVICES FOR TEN YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE  
IN OUR COMMUNITY

MOTION: Mrs. Geissert moved for the adoption of Resolution No. 85-280. Her motion was seconded by Mr. Nakano, and was unanimously approved by roll call vote.

Regular agenda order was resumed with consideration of --

11. POLICE AND FIRE MATTERS:

11a. REJECTION OF BIDS B85-60 TO FURNISH AND INSTALL A DEC MICROVAX II SYSTEM TO INTERFACE WITH THE EXISTING VAX 11-750 LOCATED IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT:

RECOMMENDATION OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS, PURCHASING AND POLICE DEPARTMENTS:

It is the recommendation of the Information Systems, Purchasing, and Police Departments that the City Council:

City Council  
October 1, 1985

1. Reject all bids, and
2. Authorize a rebid of the item on a short cycle due to the critical issue of the lack of disk storage space for the Police Department programs operating on the VAX 750.

MOTION: Mr. Applegate moved to concur with the staff recommendation on Item 11a. His motion was seconded by Mr. Wirth, and carried by unanimous roll call vote.

13. ENVIRONMENTAL/BUILDING AND SAFETY MATTERS:

13a. IMPROVEMENTS TO EAST T-HANGAR AREA TORRANCE MUNICIPAL AIRPORT (B85-53) - AWARD OF CONTRACT

RECOMMENDATION OF THE CITY ENGINEER AND AIRPORT MANAGER

That the contract be awarded to McGrew Construction Company, Inc., and the other bid be rejected.

FINANCE DIRECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that \$200,000 be appropriated from the Airport Fund to cover cash flow requirements for this project until the bond funds are available.

If for some reason the bond issue does not sell, there are adequate available monies in the Airport Fund that could be appropriated to fund this entire project. However, if this is necessary, the General Aviation Center (a bond issue project) would have to be deferred.

Responding to Councilman Mock's inquiry, Director of Transportation Horkay confirmed the new hangars would have the same restrictions as those existing:

1. Month-to-month lease agreement must be signed;
2. One aircraft per hangar;
3. No subleasing; and
4. Right of City to inspect premises with 24 hour notice

Mr. Horkay reminded those present that this is an old project, approved by Council in 1977 during the Airport Master Plan, and considered by the Airport Commission in June, 1982.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

**MOTION:** Mrs. Geissert moved to concur with the recommendation of City Engineer and Airport Manager and with the Finance Director's recommendation. Mr. Wirth seconded the motion.

Prior to roll call, Mr. Ted Stinnis, 4118 Via Lado, representing Torrance Area Pilots' Association, agreed with the choice of construction company and urged that the project go forward. However, he quoted the Finance Director's Recommendation aloud, and stated his objection to the order of priorities indicated. It was Mr. Stinnis' opinion that the General Aviation Center would benefit all users of the airport and therefore should have a higher financial priority than the hangars.

Called upon by Mayor Armstrong, Finance Director Dundore explained that one bond issue would address both this project and the General Aviation Center; and that the purpose of this footnote was to inform staff that funds are available to pay the contractor if he starts work before the sale of the bond issue for both projects, but this would deplete the Airport Fund and delay the construction of the General Aviation Center.

Director of Transportation Horkay and Finance Director Dundore elucidated staff's decision to go forward on what they considered to be an excellent bid on the hangars prior to the bond issue, it being their belief that the bond issue is 99 percent sure, with a strong probability that the sale of the bonds would be completed prior to the construction on the hangars.

Maintaining that the Finance Director's recommendation would represent a policy statement should the bond issue fail, Mr. Stinnis requested that the last paragraph of that recommendation be dropped and asked why the Airport Commission was not allowed to review this proposal prior to Council consideration.

Mr. Horkay reiterated that Commission considered this matter in 1977 as part of the Airport Master Plan and again in 1982.

Following assurance by Mayor Armstrong and City Manager Jackson that this matter would come back before Council for the public process with strategies the Council could explore relative to alternatives for financing either the T-hangars or the General Aviation Center before any action would be taken, Mr. Stinnis indicated, based on these assurances, that he did not object to the recommendation as proposed.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

On behalf of the Airport Commission, Mr. Michael Beddinger, 4011 West 232nd Street, noted pressure on the airport to facilitate jets, and opined that the hangars proposed are proportionately sized for jet aircraft. He questioned that this project should be funded without review of the specific plans by the Airport Commission.

Apprising those present that plans for the hangars have been available since the design was approved by Council, for Mr. Wirth's benefit, Mr. Horkay, aided by Airport Manager Cagaanan, also verified there will be seven 53' x 60' executive hangars designed to hold such aircraft as 421 twin Cessnas, Beach Barons, and large Piper aircraft. The Council's policy not to rent hangars for jet aircraft was reiterated by Mr. Cagaanan.

THE MOTION TO CONCUR WITH STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS (SEE ENTIRE MOTION ON PAGE 7) NOW CARRIED by unanimous roll call vote.

13b. AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT (AQMD) PROPOSED  
REGULATION VI - INDIRECT SOURCE RULE  
RE: VEHICLE RIDERSHIP:

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY DIVISION:

Staff recommends that the attached resolution that supports voluntary compliance be approved and presented to the AQMD Hearing Board when they meet on October 4, 1985.

Staff provided clarification on proposed Regulation VI, amendments and/or adoption of Rules and Regulations to require all employers who employ over 700 persons to increase average vehicle ridership to a level specified in the rule either by mandatory or voluntary compliance. It was noted that a number of businesses in the City will be affected.

Councilman Nakano agreed with the need to find ways to get rid of air pollution, but felt mandatory compliance with Regulation VI would be an undue hardship, especially to employees who have to ensure their rides. He therefore MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE. Mr. Walker seconded the motion.

In support of the motion, Mr. Wirth, as a member of the SCAG Transportation and Communications Committee, expanded further on this subject, noting that information received is conflicting and confusing.

MR. NAKANO'S MOTION THEN CARRIED WITHOUT OBJECTION.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

At Mayor Armstrong's request, City Clerk Babb read title to --

RESOLUTION NO. 85-281

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATION FOR VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE TO RULE VI TO MITIGATE AIR QUALITY IN THE NON-ATTAINMENT AREAS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MOTION: Mr. Nakano moved to adopt Resolution No. 85-281 as presented. His motion was seconded by Councilman Walker, and carried by unanimous roll call vote.

14. PERSONNEL MATTERS:

14a. JTPA FISCAL YEAR CONTRACT FOR OUTREACH AND PROMOTIONAL SERVICES:

RECOMMENDATION OF PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT, MANPOWER DIVISION, AND PRIVATE INDUSTRY COUNCIL:

It is recommended that Your Honorable Body adopt the resolution authorizing execution of a contract with Ron Tepper and Associates for the period October 2, 1985, through September 30, 1986.

City Clerk Babb read title to --

RESOLUTION NO. 85-282

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE MAYOR AND THE CITY CLERK TO EXECUTE AND ATTEST A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF TORRANCE AND RON TEPPER AND ASSOCIATES, UNDER TITLE II-A AND III OF THE JOB TRAINING PARTNERSHIP ACT

MOTION: Councilwoman Geissert moved for the adoption of Resolution No. 85-282. Councilman Mock seconded the motion.

Prior to roll call, staff responded to Mrs. Geissert's inquiry that newspaper advertising is encompassed in the cost of the contract.

The motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

14b. JTPA FISCAL YEAR 1985/86 CONTRACT AGREEMENTS:RECOMMENDATION OF THE PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT,  
MANPOWER DIVISION, AND PRIVATE INDUSTRY COUNCIL:

It is recommended that Your Honorable Body adopt the resolution authorizing the execution of contracts for employment and training services for the period October 2, 1985, through June 30, 1986, with:  
1) McDonnell Douglas Corporation; 2) United Auto Workers/Labor Employment Training Corporation (UAW/LETC); and 3) The Broadway Department Stores.

City Clerk Babb read title to --

RESOLUTION NO. 85-283

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF CITY OF TORRANCE AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE MAYOR AND THE CITY CLERK TO EXECUTE AND ATTEST THESE CERTAIN CONTRACTS BETWEEN THE CITY OF TORRANCE AND MCDONNELL DOUGLAS CORPORATION, UAW/LABOR EMPLOYMENT TRAINING CORPORATION AND THE BROADWAY DEPARTMENT STORES

MOTION: Councilwoman Geissert moved to adopt Resolution No. 85-283. The motion, seconded by Mr. Mock, carried by unanimous roll call vote.

\* \* \*

At 6:24 p.m., the City Council convened in joint session with the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Torrance, the members of the City Council sitting simultaneously as Agency members. At the conclusion of standard Agency business, the following matters were considered concurrently by the Council/Agency.

City Council Agenda Item:17. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS:17a. SALE OF PROPERTY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY:

At the request of Mayor/Chairman Armstrong, City Clerk Babb read title to --

City Council  
October 1, 1985

RESOLUTION NO. 85-284

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE FINDING AND DETERMINING THAT IT IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST TO SELL CITY-OWNED PROPERTY LOCATED BETWEEN 223RD STREET, ABALONE AVENUE, PLAZA DEL AMO, AND THE SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD TRACKS TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY FOR THE SUM OF \$1,628,495 AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND CITY CLERK TO EXECUTE AND ATTEST A PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT WITH THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

MOTION: Mrs. Geissert, seconded by Mr. Nakano, moved to adopt City Council Resolution No. 85-284. The motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

Redevelopment Agency Item:3a. PURCHASE OF PROPERTY FROM THE CITY OF TORRANCE:

Upon request, Clerk Babb read title to --

RESOLUTION NO. RA 85-32

A RESOLUTION OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE CHAIRMAN AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO EXECUTE, AND THE AGENCY CLERK TO ATTEST A PURCHASE AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF TORRANCE FOR THE ACQUISITION OF CERTAIN LAND BOUNDED BY 223RD STREET, ABALONE AVENUE, PLAZA DEL AMO, AND THE SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILWAY TRACKS FOR THE SUM OF \$1,628,495

MOTION: Mrs. Geissert moved to adopt Redevelopment Agency Resolution No. RA 85-32. Mr. Nakano seconded the motion, and roll call vote was unanimously favorable.

City Council Action:17b. LOAN AGREEMENT AMENDMENT:

Responding to Mayor/Chairman Armstrong's request, City Clerk Babb read title to --

RESOLUTION NO. 85-285

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA APPROVING AND

City Council  
October 1, 1985

AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT OF THE LOAN AGREEMENT  
BETWEEN THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY  
OF TORRANCE AND THE CITY OF TORRANCE AND  
APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE AGENCY BUDGET  
FOR THE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

MOTION: Mrs. Geissert motioned for the adoption of Resolution No. 85-285. Her motion, seconded by Mr. Mock, was unanimously approved by roll call vote.

Redevelopment Agency Item:

3b. APPOINT BOND COUNSEL AND FINANCIAL ADVISOR  
FOR THE ISSUANCE OF TAX ALLOCATION BONDS:

RECOMMENDATION OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR/CITY  
MANAGER AND FINANCE DIRECTOR:

It is recommended that the Agency approve the agreements with O'Melveny & Myers to act as bond counsel and Merrill Lynch as financial advisor for the proposed sale of Redevelopment Tax Allocation Bonds.

MOTION: Mrs. Geissert moved to concur with the staff recommendation. Mr. Mock seconded the motion, and roll call vote was unanimously favorable.

Redevelopment Agency Item:

3c. LOAN AGREEMENT AMENDMENT:

Chairman Armstrong requested City Clerk Babb to read title to --

RESOLUTION NO. RA 85-33

A RESOLUTION OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF  
THE CITY OF TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING  
AND AUTHORIZING THE AMENDMENT OF A LOAN  
AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY  
OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE AND THE CITY OF  
TORRANCE, AND AMENDING THE AGENCY BUDGET  
FOR THE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

MOTION: A motion to adopt Resolution No. RA 85-33 was offered by Mrs. Geissert, seconded by Mr. Mock, and unanimously approved by roll call vote.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

\* \* \*

At 6:30 p.m., regular Council agenda order was resumed, the Council/Agency remaining in joint session for a joint Executive Session. (See Page 28.)

\* \* \*

17c. CABLE TV FOUNDATION:

Deferred; see Page 14.

17d. EXECUTIVE SESSION:

Mayor/Chairman Armstrong read the following statement into the record:

The City Council/Redevelopment Agency will now recess to closed session for the purpose of conferring with the City Attorney/Agency Counsel regarding:

1. Pending litigation entitled: City of Torrance v. Torrance Unified School District, Case No. C561662, Los Angeles Superior Court. This closed session is being held pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(a).
2. Possible litigation concerning duties and responsibilities under the Cable TV franchise agreement between the City and Group W. This closed session is held pursuant to Section 54956.9(b) (1).
3. Possible litigation concerning the the Park del Amo project and the deed to the Madrona Marsh. This closed session is being held pursuant to Section 54956.9(c).
4. Pending litigation concerning eminent domain proceedings of 25 acres of land situated north of Torrance Boulevard, and East of Van Ness Avenue, (Redevelopment Agency of the City of Torrance vs. M. & W. Properties, et al, Superior Court Case No. C54490). Pursuant to Code Section 54956.9(a).

Stating his intent to attend to these items in an expeditious manner and return to Council agenda items, Mayor/

City Council  
October 1, 1985

Chairman Armstrong noted that Items 3 and 4 may be considered later in the evening in a continued Executive Session:

Councilman Applegate announced that he would abstain on Park del Amo/Madrona Marsh matters for reasons of record.

The City Council/Redevelopment Agency recessed to a closed joint Executive Session at 6:33 p.m., returning at 7:04 p.m. Mayor/Chairman Armstrong announced that the Executive Session would be continued later in the evening for consideration of Items 3 and 4 listed above. No action was taken as a result of this closed joint Executive Session, and Council agenda order was resumed.

\* \* \*

The Council/Agency now returned to consideration of --

17c. CABLE TV FOUNDATION:

(Postponed at the meeting of September 24, 1985.)

RECOMMENDATION OF THE CITY MANAGER, CABLE TELEVISION ADMINISTRATOR, AND CITY ATTORNEY:

We recommend that you adopt the attached Resolution establishing the City of Torrance as the Cable Television Foundation for the receipt and disbursement of the dedication portion of the gross receipts of Group W and related purposes, appoint the members of the Board of Directors set forth therein, approve the concept of the Public Access Citizens' Advisory Board, and direct that a report thereon be submitted by us as soon as practicable.

Mayor Armstrong invited comments from the audience.

Representing the Torrance Community Producers Association, 42 Del Amo Plaza, Mr. Ron Stuerke, encouraged creation of the Foundation to facilitate their providing better quality videos for the people of Torrance.

Next to speak, Ms. Becky Kilburn, also with the Torrance Community Producers Association, reported that their organization represents the active community producers in Torrance. Advising that there has been over 200 people trained in the basic equipment usage, Ms. Kilburn said that their current facilities are at maximum usage, and indicated that with additional support from the City and additional funding, TCPA could do much more to

City Council  
October 1, 1985

provide quality programming for Torrance. This speaker urged creation of the Foundation in an expeditious manner and requested that TCPA be allowed to have representatives on the Advisory Board to provide input to decision making regarding the use of funds.

Ms. Frances Tandy, speaking from a prepared statement (of record) on behalf of Cindi Hammond, President of the League of Women Voters, 1328 Amapola Avenue, advised that the League has long lobbied for the creation of a lay advisory board through which citizens would participate in the decision making process with regard to community access programming. On behalf of the League, she encouraged creation of a Cable TV Access Foundation and approval of a lay advisory board and its political structure, and made the following suggestions in this regard:

1. That individuals selected as Board members not present clear conflicts of interest;
2. That the Advisory Board be allowed flexibility within the scope of its duties;
3. That members of the Advisory Board be selected on the basis of the applicant's demonstrated interest in public access programming, civic affairs, and a willingness to devote adequate time to their duties; and
4. That the Advisory Board be composed of informed members reflecting a variety of backgrounds and representative of community interest groups and community needs.

Next at the podium, Sue Hubers, 2264 West 230th Street, speaking as a citizen concerned about communications in the City, said she considered cable television an opportunity for citizens to become involved in this area. She opined that a broader based group of people than recommended for the Board of Directors would better serve the interests of the City.

Urging the Council to go forward relative to formation of the Foundation, Ms. Charlotte Lobb, 1843 West 244th Street, a public access producer and long-time supporter of public access programming, indicated that she favored the inclusion of at least one public member and a Group W representative on the board. It was her desire that the Board's decision-making process include the input of the advisory group and that the advisory group be as effective as possible.

Of concern to this speaker was information she received that the Board of Directors would meet prior to the appointment

City Council  
October 1, 1985

of the member of the general public which she felt would be exclusionary and not typical of Torrance.

Sensing that some members of the audience believed the delay in creating the Foundation was Council related, Mayor Armstrong explained in depth that changing legislation inhibited formation of the Foundation at an earlier date.

It was the suggestion of the City Manager and the City Attorney, as set forth by City Manager Jackson, that the Council name the body as "interim" Board of Directors given the fact Council must review an ordinance for the formal adoption of a board of directors at a later date after consulting with Group W as called forth in the franchise.

City Manager Jackson noted a correction in the third paragraph of the proposed Resolution, advising that it should read "Group W has completed the construction of its cable television system and is now serving the residents of Torrance."

MOTION: Councilman Applegate moved to concur with the staff recommendation including the City Manager's recommendation relative to an "interim" Board of Directors. Mrs. Geissert seconded the motion. (This motion ultimately failed, see Page 17.)

Mr. Walker said he would offer a substitute motion to hold this item for two weeks because laws that prohibited the City Council from sitting as the Foundation have changed since the original Agreement with Group W, and there is a possibility Group W would now agree to a modification. He opined, if this could be done, the City could be better served by the elected officials sitting as a Foundation.

SUBSTITUTE MOTION: Councilman Walker moved to hold this item two weeks. Mr. Wirth seconded the motion.

At Mayor Armstrong's request, Mr. Walker clarified that it was his intent, as part of the motion, for City staff to enter into discussion with Group W during the two weeks for the purpose of seeing if, in fact, the Council could sit as the Foundation with modifications to the Agreement, and that points set forth by speakers tonight also be considered during that time. Councilman Wirth, as seconder, concurred with these inclusions. (This motion ultimately carried; see Page 17.)

Believing the whole concept of the Foundation to be difficult and riddled with questions and misconceptions as to how it would function, Mrs. Geissert supported the proposal as a good beginning, subject to change in the future. She supported the original motion stating her belief that "it is way past time for us to have a vehicle for community input," and that vehicle (the Foundation) should be "broadly based."

City Council  
October 1, 1985

It was suggested by Councilwoman Geissert that the City Librarian, or his designee, would be a more appropriate member of the Board than the City Manager since development of a community data base would be a consideration. She suggested the Board should be comprised of the City Librarian, Parks and Recreation Director, Finance Director, a representative of Group W, a member from the community at large, and the chairman of the advisory board or an interim appointee until the Board is established.

Mrs. Geissert opined the City Council would not have sufficient time for proper consideration of foundation matters because it deals with a multitude of other problems; and she suggested the Council, as the final authority for appropriating funds by Charter, would have sufficient strength and input in foundation matters.

Councilman Applegate said he would amend the original motion, if necessary, to include the City Librarian as a member of the Board in lieu of the City Manager. Echoing Mrs. Geissert's stated opinion, Mr. Applegate agreed that time is of the essence to move ahead. Referring to the proposal for an "interim Board of Directors," this speaker noted that changes can be made, if necessary.

Although he supported the substitute motion, Mr. Wirth advised, if the Council votes to concur with the staff recommendation, he would agree with the validity of substituting the City Librarian for the City Manager on the Board of Directors. Regardless of the direction taken, Councilman Wirth proposed that the advisory board should be a very active, strong group; should be from a cross section of the community for good representation; and should meet on a regular basis.

City Manager Jackson expressed his concurrence with the suggestion that the City Librarian be a member of the board.

Referring to comments as to the amount of time the Foundation would require, it was suggested by Councilman Walker that neither the City Librarian, Finance Director, nor the Parks and Recreation Director, etc., would have an abundance of time. He stated his preference that the board be set correctly the first time, so it would not tend to "take on a life of its own." A delay of two weeks would serve the community in getting the best possible solution, Mr. Walker maintained.

Mayor Armstrong called for vote on Mr. Walker's SUBSTITUTE MOTION, TO HOLD THIS ITEM FOR TWO WEEKS TO EXAMINE THE POSSIBILITY OF THE CITY COUNCIL ITSELF SITTING AS THE FOUNDATION (See substitute motion, as proposed, on Page 16), which CARRIED BY THE FOLLOWING ROLL CALL VOTE:

City Council  
October 1, 1985

AYES: Councilmembers Mock, Walker, Wirth,  
and Mayor Armstrong.

NOES: Councilmembers Applegate, Geissert and Nakano.

Mayor Armstrong clarified that although he is not opposed to staff's proposal, he voted in favor of holding this item two weeks because it is a major undertaking on the part of the City, and because Council is dark October 8, and he felt that nothing would be lost by taking two weeks to examine the merit of alternatives -- in particular, whether or not there is merit to the City Council sitting as the foundation board.

17d. EXECUTIVE SESSION:

Considered earlier, see Pages 13 and 14, and continued on Pages 28 and 29.

20. CONSENT CALENDAR:

20a. INVESTMENT REPORT - MONTH OF JULY, 1985:

RECOMMENDATION OF THE CITY TREASURER:

It is recommended that the Council receive the attached Investment Report for information only.

20b. COUNCIL AWARD OF CONTRACT - Re: Purchase of four (4) replacement 40 foot transit coaches.

REF. BID: NO. B85-43

FUNDING: 80% Federal Grant CA90-X124  
20% Transportation Development Act  
SB325 funds.

EXPENDITURE: \$629,029.00

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ACTING GENERAL SERVICES DIRECTOR,  
TRANSIT MANAGER, AND EQUIPMENT SUPERINTENDENT:

It is recommended that Council accept the low bid submitted by the Gillig Corporation and for Council to award a contract to them for the required four (4) replacement transit coaches in the total bid amount

City Council  
October 1, 1985

of \$626,685.00 including tax and freight, PLUS the extended warranty coverage bid amount of \$586.00 per coach, for a grand total of \$629,029.00.

MOTION: Councilman Applegate moved to concur with the staff recommendations on Consent Calendar Item Nos. 20a and 20b. His motion was seconded by Councilwoman Geissert and unanimously approved by roll call vote.

21. ADDENDUM ITEMS:

21a. ADJOURNMENT TO OCTOBER 15, 1985:

Deferred; see Page 26.

21b. PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING THE MONTH OF OCTOBER, 1985, AS "UNITED WAY CAMPAIGN MONTH":

Heard earlier; see Page 4.

21c. INTERNATIONAL MINERALS AND CHEMICAL CORPORATION (IMC) PETITION TO THE SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT (SCAQMD) FOR A VARIANCE PERMITTING THE OPEN STORAGE OF PETROLEUM COKE AT 19500 CRENSHAW BOULEVARD ON A PERMANENT BASIS:

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ADMINISTRATOR

Staff recommends that the Variance be granted for one year only and that during this time a site plan be submitted and approved by the City of Torrance that shows building elevations and perimeter walls and landscaping that will mitigate any potential dust emissions.

CITY MANAGER'S NOTE:

The City Manager has been in touch with IMC. They believe they currently have effective on site measures which would mitigate any problems caused by the coke pile. They also have concerns with the prohibitive costs of constructing an enclosure. The City Manager is meeting with representatives of IMC, today, October 1, to discuss these concerns.

Environmental Quality Administrator McElroy highlighted the information provided in staff material of record. The item

City Council  
October 1, 1985

under consideration, she explained, is a petition by IMC to obtain a variance to Rule 1158, which requires coverage of all open storage of petroleum coke. The operation has been existent for the past 40 years, operated by Great Lakes Properties since the 1960's. IMC recently obtained the contract, has been operating under a temporary permit as a new entity, and will petition SCAQMD on October 8 for a permanent exemption from Rule 1158 by submitting, in lieu of compliance, a plan that would mitigate all possible emission problems arising from that, Ms. McElroy elucidated.

Stating staff's position, Ms. McElroy noted that IMC is operating according to a plan accepted by the Council in 1972, and the conditions of an Ordinance adopted in 1971. She opined that it is time to reconsider this operation relative to whether the coke should be covered, and she therefore recommended the Council adopt a resolution that would recommend to SCAQMD that a variance be granted IMC for one year pursuant to staff's recommendation.

In the event Council were to approve the staff recommendation and SCAQMD were to reject same, Environmental Quality Administrator McElroy asked that Council allow staff to recommend to SCAQMD that the IMC hearing be postponed for two to three weeks to allow for more public commentary. She responded to Council inquiries.

It was elucidated through discussion that citizen complaints regarding the coke operation are directed to SCAQMD, and that the IMC coke storage facilities are the required 65 yards from any adjacent residences. An aerial map of the site was presented by Ms. McElroy.

Representing IMC, was Attorney Mark G. Ancel and Mr. John F. Beerkle, Manager of IMC, who came forward to explain that they learned of this meeting this date and met with the City Manager at 4:15 p.m. Mr. Ancel made it clear that IMC is in compliance with Torrance Ordinance 2271 and has been in compliance since before its application to SCAQMD for a temporary variance from Rule No. 1158 as a new operator.

IMC Manager Beerkle stated that IMC met with City representatives at their site prior to July 1, 1985, to see if they were in compliance. Per this speaker, the only corrections required by the City involved landscaping, and these requirements were satisfied prior to beginning operation. Expanding on procedures implemented in an effort to comply with all requirements at this facility to date, and on their commendable track record with other operations in the Los Angeles basin, Mr. Beerkle said he

City Council  
October 1, 1985

was surprised there was concern and problems with this operation, knowing of no known violations on file since the 1971 plan was implemented.

Mr. Ancel further noted that Great Lakes Properties, the previous operator, had obtained a variance from SCAQMD similar to the one IMC was requesting. It was a misnomer, in his opinion to call this a permanent variance request since it would be reviewed by SCAQMD on an annual basis.

It was Counsel Ancel's contention that the proposed resolution, from which he read excerpts aloud, is tantamount to requiring IMC to have enclosed storage without any factual investigation by the City's staff. Further, he said, there are indications of health concerns of which they were unaware. "The City is saying to us we must enclose...and yet they've made no factual determination as to why we should..."

At Mayor Armstrong's request, Environmental Quality Administrator McElroy clarified staff's recommendation. The Mayor noted that his prime concern is the welfare of the adjacent community and that it be given sufficient protection.

That this matter has been pending four months before the SCAQMD, and had been brought to the City's attention before that time, was elucidated by Counsel Ancel, pursuing the delay on this action by the City. He expressed IMC's concern as to why they were suddenly faced with a "mandatory requirement.. of substantial expense," the nature and extent of which is uncertain.

Knowing there was a sense of urgency to act on the proposed resolution, Councilman Walker said he was uncomfortable putting his name to this document without further information, and suggested postponement. He was informed by staff that the IMC hearing date is October 8 with SCAQMD and that a continuation would be unattainable without the agreement of IMC.

Explaining their position, Mr. Ancel advised that the IMC temporary variance expires on October 15, 1985. The hearing was originally set for August 21, he further noted, and public notice was given at that time.

Environmental Quality Administrator McElroy clarified that SCAQMD hearing notices are given through the Los Angeles Times. She felt sure residents along Del Amo adjacent to the operation did not know of the hearing. Mr. Ancel disagreed, maintaining that these residents had been notified by SCAQMD staff.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

Councilman Walker pursued postponement of this matter for a "short yet adequate period of time" for further study.

Staff was called upon throughout discussion for clarification of the issue at hand.

Having made reference to inconsistencies of SCAQMD in the past, Mr. Wirth stated the need for a strong recommendation when dealing with this agency.

Ms. Geissert stated the purpose of SCAQMD, which was established by the State, and noted that Rule 1158 is their rule and requires SCAQMD approved coke enclosures by July 1, 1985. IMC is requesting a variance from that rule, she clarified, and all Council is doing is considering whether to support that rule and ask that a year's grace be given during which IMC would be required to develop a plan for enclosure that may be required in the future. Councilwoman Geissert noted that at the end of the year's period, IMC has the right to request an extension. She felt it was critical that Council support that position in line with the City's responsibility to consider the welfare of everyone in the City, including those residents adjacent to, and 65 yards from, the coke facilities in question.

MOTION: Ms. Geissert moved to support the proposed resolution. Her motion was seconded by Mr. Walker.

If there were known problems, Councilman Applegate contended, there might be other mitigating measures that would alleviate those problems, he said, and provided examples. It was his concern, given that staff has been onsite working with IMC, that this "11th hour" appeal was necessary on a matter the City has been very much aware of for a long time. Staff was strongly chided by this speaker for the delay in receiving this item - unfair to all concerned, in his opinion.

Environmental Quality Administrator McElroy responded that staff went onsite with IMC strictly to look at requirements of the City Code relating to this, adopted in 1971. It was her understanding that it was a compromise situation which allowed the coke to go uncovered at that time. IMC is in compliance with the City Code, she noted, but is not in compliance with SCAQMD Rule 1158, the matter under consideration. If SCAQMD accepts the plan offered by IMC in lieu of requiring covered coke storage, Ms. McElroy noted, the City may not have future input.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

Reading from the agenda item material, Mr. Applegate requested an interpretation of staffs statement that IMC's plan "would not suffice to mitigate potential air pollution problems associated with open storage of petroleum coke." How did the City make this determination, he inquired. Mr. Jackson indicated The City was requesting that controls be placed on the variance to guarantee that review during the coming year.

"When there is a problem, we tend to hear about the problem," Mr. Applegate maintained, noting the recommendation represents a major change and he was not sure why - a diversion from past practice, in his opinion. Considering the variance is reviewed annually by SCAQMD, it was this councilman's suggestion that this matter be handled in other ways, such as asking for voluntary input from IMC to determine whether or not there are problems and possible mitigation measures without involving the SCAQMD. He did not disagree, he said, with investigating the operation.

Mr. Wirth also expressed dismay over the delay in receiving this item. It was his opinion that SCAQMD does not readily listen to the City, and although he was distressed at the last minute presentation and had some concerns, he felt SCAQMD needed a strong message and therefore supported the motion.

Against the motion, Mr. Walker stated his preference that the item be held until such time as this matter could be given proper study. He opined that these "multi, multi, million dollar recommendations" that would obviously have an impact on the neighborhood, new construction and IMC, should not be made in an "offhand" manner; and MOTIONED TO HOLD THIS ITEM FOR AN UNSPECIFIED TIME, AND ASK STAFF TO WORK WITH THE APPLICANT IN ASKING THE SCAQMD TO HOLD THIS ITEM FOR A PERIOD OF TIME SO THE COUNCIL CAN MAKE A PROPER RECOMMENDATION. (His motion died for lack of a second.)

It was Acting City Attorney Quale's suggestion, that IMC management indicate whether or not they would concur.

Again noting that IMC's variance expires on October 15, Counsel Ansel stated concern in this regard, not knowing what SCAQMD would do relative to the expiration of their temporary variance.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

SUBSTITUTE MOTION: Councilman Applegate moved to ask for a continuance on this matter and to request that a member of staff attend the SCAQMD meeting to indicate that the City, in conjunction with the proponent in this matter, would jointly like to have a continuance subject to IMC being granted an extension on their variance; and in the event an extension is granted, that the City present no position other than that to the SCAQMD. Mr. Walker seconded the motion.

Representatives of IMC heartily agreed, grateful, they said, for the recommendation.

It was Mr. Applegate's desire that this motion be a separate action, not necessarily a substitute motion to the original motion, with which he was in disagreement. He clarified that it was his intent to ask for an extension of time within which to explore this matter before the SCAQMD is put on notice that the City recommends construction of storage facilities and other requirements.

Supporting the main motion, Councilwoman Geissert felt more weight had been given to this proposal than it deserves. She clarified that the City would merely be making a recommendation for a decision to be made by another body. It was her opinion that the year's variance was of issue, it being her understanding that unless a variance has a time certain such as the one year recommended, it could go on indefinitely if granted. This latter concern was verified as valid by Acting City Attorney Quale.

Ms. Geissert said she did not agree with earlier statements relative to the cost involved to develop a plan to enclose coke facilities, and maintained that this was not an unreasonable request given the plan may never be mandated.

Mayor Armstrong invited audience comments.

Mr. Eleazar Ybarra, 2411 Del Amo Boulevard, 14 year resident at that address, told of an incident that happened a month and a half prior to the meeting wherein he suffered losses due to coke dust on his property. He maintained that, upon investigation, Mr. Ancel denied the substance was coke dust and said they would research the material, leaving this speaker to bear the cost of losses. Mr. Ybarra verified that all of his complaints regarding coke dust have been directed to SCAQMD.

While at the podium, Mr. Ybarra told of other incidents and concerns involving noise at night when the coke is stocked by truck. He invited Mr. Walker to visit his neighborhood to familiarize himself with complaints.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

Referring to a 1972 resolution requiring sprinklers to mitigate the distribution of coke dust during winds, Speaker Ybarra noted that the sprinklers sometimes fail to operate; and when they do operate, a black substance is sprayed instead of water on occasion, which drifts back into the neighborhood. This Torrance resident was in favor of either eliminating the coke storage altogether, or total enclosure of same.

Mr. Joe Torres, 2327 Del Amo, a 27-year resident at that address, informed the Council that this was a repeat visit to this body regarding the coke problem, which has been an ongoing problem in his neighborhood for over 30 years, he said. Reflecting on problems and mitigation measures through the years relative to the operation in question, Mr. Torres reiterated Mr. Ybarra's statement, that per the City's instructions, all of their complaints are directed to SCAQMD.

Adding to information provided by Mr. Ybarra, Speaker Torres expressed frustration over what he considered a "no win" situation, advising the Council that the sprinkler system, employed as a mitigation measure for the coke dust, causes mosquitos in the summer; that the train passing through the area causes coke along the tracks; and that when trees are trimmed, it causes more coke in the residents' yards.

Mr. Torres maintained that the presence of coke on his property and inside his house was identified by SCAQMD four weeks prior to the meeting. The only way to control this problem, per Mr. Torres, is to put the coke in containers, which he opined may be less costly in the long run than mitigation measures that fail to work. It was further suggested by this speaker that Council would be more familiar with problems incurred by the residents in this area if Councilmembers were called "in alphabetical order" when coke problems arise instead of SCAQMD. He invited various Councilmembers to visit the area.

The substitute motion -- to request jointly with IMC that SCAQMD postpone the hearing (see entire motion on Page 24) -- was now considered and FAILED TO CARRY by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Applegate and Walker.

NOES: Councilmembers Geissert, Mock, Nakano, Wirth, and Mayor Armstrong.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

Mayor Armstrong clarified the issue at hand and entertained a vote on the original motion -- to concur with the resolution (see original motion on Page 22). The motion CARRIED by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Geissert, Mock, Nakano, Wirth, and Mayor Armstrong.

NOES: Councilmembers Applegate and Walker.

At Mayor Armstrong's request, City Clerk Babb read title to --

RESOLUTION NO. 85-286

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE RECOMMENDING TO THE SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT THAT A VARIANCE BE GRANTED TO INTERNATIONAL MINERALS AND CHEMICAL CORPORATION FOR ONE YEAR ONLY FOR THE OPEN STORAGE OF PETROLEUM COKE AT 19500 CRENSHAW BOULEVARD AND PROVIDING THAT PLANS BE SUBMITTED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY OF TORRANCE FOR AN ENCLOSED STRUCTURE DURING THIS INTERIM

MOTION: Councilmember Geissert moved for the adoption of Resolution No. 85-286. Councilman Mock seconded the motion which CARRIED by the following roll all vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Geissert, Mock, Nakano, Wirth; and Mayor Armstrong.

NOES: Councilmembers Applegate and Walker.

Mayor Armstrong noted that changes, such as in this case, allow the City the opportunity to review established situations to determine deficiencies and address resolutions. He indicated his desire to know the true nature of the City's responsibility relative to the IMC operation and for the resolution of any deficiencies to be addressed.

Deferred earlier, the Council now considered --

21a. ADJOURNMENT TO OCTOBER 15, 1985:

Mayor Armstrong reviewed a RECOMMENDATION by the City Manager that this MEETING BE ADJOURNED TO OCTOBER 15, 1985, due to the League of California Cities Conference. It was SO ORDERED by Mayor Armstrong WITHOUT OBJECTION.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

Items 21b (see Page 19) and 21c (Pages 19 - 26) having been considered earlier, the Council returned to agenda order.

21d. SELF INSURANCE:

RECOMMENDATION OF THE CITY MANAGER:

It is recommended that the City become totally self insured for liability until such time as the insurance market becomes more acceptable to the City and quality, affordable insurance is available.

Having received assurance from staff that the City is in the process of exploring insurance alternatives in conjunction with other cities, Councilman Applegate stated his desire that the City not be self insured for an extended period of time.

The Council unanimously concurred with the staff recommendation by roll call vote.

22. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:

22a. Councilman Applegate commented favorably on paramedic services in the City, having had occasion to use same.

22b. A councilmanic request extended by Councilman Mock that the results of the School Board election on November 5, be televised on Channel 22 was received without objection, subject to implementation.

22c. The need to select delegates to the League of California Cities Seattle Conference in December, 1985, was set forth by Mayor Armstrong, and resulted in the following action.

Councilman Applegate, seconded by Councilman Walker, moved to nominate Mayor Armstrong as delegate.

Councilman Wirth nominated Ms. Geissert as alternate delegate. His nomination was seconded by Councilman Nakano.

Both nominations were approved without objection.

22d. Mayor Armstrong announced that Mr. Walker would serve as Mayor Pro Tem for the next quarter.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

22e. Stating that his son applied for a business license three or four years ago and did not receive a refund of the filing fee when the license was refused by the Planning Commission, Mr. John Whitebrook, 18823 Patronella Avenue, maintained that such fees should be refunded, and suggested the City Council look into this matter in the future. Staff was directed by Mayor Armstrong to look into this matter and respond its findings to Council.

22f. Several problems were set forth by Mr. Roy Stone, 3436 West 229th Street, relative to elevated curbing and sidewalk caused by a tree in front of 3502 West 229th Street - problems that have not been corrected, per Mr. Stone, despite repeated requests over a six-year period; a leaning street light standard; and sunken water meters. He requested that someone take a closer look at these problems. Staff to follow through.

\* \* \*

All other agenda items having been completed, the City Council, having remained in joint session with the Redevelopment Agency for this purpose, returned to --

17d. EXECUTIVE SESSION: (Continued; see Pages 13 and 14)

Mayor Armstrong read the following statement into the record:

The City Council/Redevelopment Agency will now recess to closed session for the purpose of conferring with the City Attorney/Agency Counsel regarding the following:

(Items 1 and 2 considered earlier; see Pages 13 and 14.)

3. Possible litigation concerning the the Park del Amo project and the deed to the Madrona Marsh. This closed session is being held pursuant to Section 54956.9(c).
4. Pending litigation concerning eminent domain proceedings of 25 acres of land situated north of Torrance Boulevard, and East of Van Ness Avenue, (Redevelopment Agency of the City of Torrance vs. M. & W. Properties, et al, Superior Court Case No. C54490). Pursuant to Code Section 54956.9(a).

The City Council will also recess to closed session to confer with the City Manager regarding salaries, salary

City Council  
October 1, 1985

schedules, and compensation of certain employee groups. This closed session is being held pursuant to the authority of Government Code Section 54957.6.

Councilman Applegate reiterated his earlier announcement, and stated that he would abstain during consideration of Park del Amo/Madrona Marsh matters.

At 8:48 p.m. the Council/Agency recessed to a joint Executive Session. Upon their return at 9:47 p.m., Mayor Armstrong confirmed that the Agency/Council had met in a joint closed Executive Session and that Councilman Applegate abstained from Park del Amo/Madrona Marsh matters. The following Council action resulted.

This being a new first reading of Ordinance No. 3151, City Clerk Babb advised that the originally assigned number would prevail.

Acting City Attorney Quale suggested, prior to the reading of Ordinance 3151, that the Selective Certification, Nondiscrimination, and Layoff Provisions, as they are stated in the existing Memorandum of Understanding for Deputy City Attorneys, be added to this Ordinance and included therein at the second reading.

City Clerk Babb read title to --

ORDINANCE NO. 3151

ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY  
OF TORRANCE SETTING FORTH WAGES, HOURS, AND  
WORKING CONDITIONS FOR DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

MOTION: Councilman Walker, seconded by Councilman Nakano, moved to approve Ordinance No. 3151 at its new first reading. The motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

In the matter of the Park del Amo lawsuit, Acting City Attorney Quale recommended that the Council allocate a sum not to exceed \$10,000 for the purpose of hiring the Reynolds organization to do a scientific report and analysis for the City on the Madrona Marsh in the event the City fails to get an affirmative response from Torrance Improvement Corporation, within a reasonable period of time, giving the City immediate possession of the Marsh.

City Council  
October 1, 1985

MOTION: Councilwoman Geissert moved to concur with the recommendation, seconded by Councilman Wirth. The motion carried by majority vote, Mr. Applegate abstaining for reasons of record.

23. . ADJOURNMENT:

MOTION: Mr. Applegate moved to ADJOURN THE COUNCIL/AGENCY MEETING AT 9:50 P.M. to October 15, 1985, at 5:30 p.m. His motion was seconded by Mr. Mock, and so ordered by Mayor Armstrong without objection.

\* \* \*

  
 Mayor of the City of Torrance

  
 Clerk of the City of Torrance

Marlene Lewis  
 Minute Secretary

City Council  
 October 1, 1985